BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE August 28, 2002

IN RE:	보고를 하는데 하고 되었습니다. 소리를 생겨를 통해 하는데 2012년 전 1일 1일 대한 1일 수 있다. 함께 하는데 1일	
: 15 1일)	
PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF THE) DOCKET NO. 02-0054	Ω
INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS THERETO BETWEEN		ŭ
BELLSOUTH TELECOMMUNICATIONS)	
INC. AND DSLNET COMMUNICATIONS		
LLC		

ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT AND AMENDMENTS THERETO

This matter came before Director Deborah Taylor Tate, Director Pat Miller, and Director Ron Jones of the Tennessee Regulatory Authority (the "Authority"), the voting panel assigned to this docket, at a regularly scheduled Authority Conference held on July 23, 2002 to consider, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Petition for approval of the interconnection agreement and amendments thereto negotiated between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and DSLnet Communications, LLC. The agreement and amendments were filed on May 14, 2002.

Based upon the review of the agreement and amendments, the record in this matter, and the standards for review set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 252, the Directors unanimously granted the agreement and amendments and made the following findings and conclusions:

1) The Authority has jurisdiction over public utilities pursuant to Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

- 2) The agreement and amendments are in the public interest as they provide consumers with alternative sources of telecommunications services within the BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. service area.
- 3) The agreement and amendments are not discriminatory to telecommunications service providers that are not parties thereto.
- 4) 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(A) provides that a state commission may reject a negotiated agreement only if it "discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement" or if the implementation of the agreement "is not consistent with the public interest, convenience or necessity." Unlike arbitrated agreements, a state commission may not reject a negotiated agreement on the grounds that the agreement fails to meet the requirements of 47 U.S.C. §§ 251 or 252(d). Thus, although the Authority finds that neither ground for rejection of a negotiated agreement exists, this finding should not be construed to mean that the agreement and amendments are consistent with §§ 251 or 252(d) or, for that matter, previous Authority decisions.
 - 5) No person or entity has sought to intervene in this docket.
- 6) The agreement and amendments are reviewable by the Authority pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 252 and Tenn. Code Ann. § 65-4-104.

¹ See 47 U.S.C. § 252(e)(2)(B)(Supp. 2001).

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Petition is granted, and the interconnection agreement and amendments thereto negotiated between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and DSLnet Communications, LLC are approved and are subject to the review of the Authority as provided herein.

Deborah Taylor Tate, Director

Pat Miller, Director

Jones, Di